



## PRACTICE AREAS

---

Intellectual Property

Patent Litigation

Philip Wang is a partner at Russ August & Kabat, where he practices in the intellectual property and litigation departments. He specializes in patent litigation and PTAB proceedings, with a focus on high-technology. Mr. Wang was an attorney at Irell & Manella from 2008 to 2013 and Latham & Watkins from 2014 to 2016.

Mr. Wang's practice centers on large, complex patent litigations. He has represented clients in the semiconductor, computer software, and pharmaceutical industries. He has trial experience before district courts, the International Trade Commission, and the Patent Office.

Mr. Wang is also a registered patent attorney. He has been involved in more than 30 PTAB proceedings. As trial counsel in an IPR, he successfully invalidated four patents.

In 2021 and 2022, Mr. Wang was named a Top 100 "Rising Star." Mr. Wang was recognized as a Southern California Super Lawyer in 2025. Prior to that, he was recognized as a Rising Star from 2014-2023 in the area of intellectual property litigation and he was named an Up-and-Coming 100: Southern California Rising Star from 2019-2023.

Outside of work, Mr. Wang is a professional-level chess player. He holds the International Master title and is a former California State Chess Champion.

## EDUCATION

---

Columbia University School of Law (JD, 2008, Harlan Fiske Stone Scholar)

Stanford University (BS, Computer Science, 2003)

## Honors/Professional Activities

- Super Lawyers Top 100 Rising Star, 2021-2022
- Super Lawyers Rising Star, 2014-2023
- Southern California Super Lawyer in 2025
- Asian Americans Advancing Justice Pro Bono Service Award, 2016

# RUSS AUGUST & KABAT

- Notes Editor, Columbia Business Law Review
- Teaching Assistant, First Year Torts

## Publications

- Teaching Assistant, First Year Torts

## Recent Matters

- *Samsung v. NVIDIA* (E.D. Va. & ITC): Represented NVIDIA in two multi-patent cases involving semiconductor technologies and parallel IPR proceedings. Won jury trial verdict of non-infringement and validity.\*
- *OpinionLab v. Qualtrics* (N.D. Ill.): Represented Qualtrics in six-patent case involving online survey technology and parallel IPR proceedings. Invalidated four OpinionLab patents in IPRs.\*
- *Adobe v. Wowza* (N.D. Cal.): Represented Adobe in four-patent case involving video streaming software. Obtained settlement after summary judgment hearing.\*
- *Infineon v. Atmel* (D. Del.): Represented Atmel in 21-patent case involving microcontroller technology. Obtained settlement after claim construction ruling.\*
- *University of Pennsylvania v. Genentech* (N.D. Cal.): Represented the University in patent case involving Herceptin®. Obtained settlement after defeating summary judgment motion.\*
- *Max Planck and Alnylam v. Whitehead Institute, et al.* (D. Mass.): Represented Max Planck and Alnylam in multi-party dispute over RNA patent applications. Obtained settlement before trial.\*
- *LG Display v. Chi Mei Optoelectronics* (D. Del.): Represented Chi Mei in seven-patent case involving LCD panel technology. Obtained settlement before trial.\*
- *Ermita Alabado, et al. v. French Concepts, et al.* (C.D. Cal.): Led pro bono team in winning \$15.2 million default judgment on behalf of human trafficking victims.\*

\*Represents experience at a prior firm.