

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PAVO SOLUTIONS, LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

KINGSTON TECHNOLOGY COMPANY, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. 8:14-cv-01352-JLS-KES Honorable Josephine L. Staton

SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

## SPECIAL VERDICT FORM 1 In answering these questions, you are to follow the instructions I have given 2 you in the Charge of Court. 3 4 1. Did Pavo prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Kingston infringes any of the asserted claims? 5 Answer "yes" or "no" for each claim: 6 The '544 Patent 7 Infringed? Claim 1 Les 8 Claim 4 Claim 24 9 10 2. If you answered yes for at least one claim in Question 1, did Pavo prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Kingston's infringement was willful? 11 Answer "yes" or "no": \_\_\_\_\_ 12 13 If you found any claim infringed, answer the following question. 14 15 3. What has Plaintiff proven by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to as a reasonable royalty for Kingston's infringement? 16 17 Royalty per unit sold: $20t \times 31,576,637=\$ + ,515,327$ Tcents Tunits sold 18 19 You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it 20 accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The Presiding Juror should sign 21 and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Bailiff that you have 22 reached a verdict. The Presiding Juror should retain possession of the verdict form 23 and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom. 24 25 Dated: March 13, 2020 Signed: 26 Presiding Juror 27

28